

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,527	02/11/2002	Subrata Mokerji	500-3013-U	6146	
7:	590 08/12/2003				
MCDONALD, HOPKINS, BURKE & HABER CO. 2100 BANK ONE CENTER 600 SUPERIOR AVENUE, E.			EXAMINER		
			PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2653			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775	14	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ASI				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/073,527	MOKERJI, SUBRATA				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew T Piziali	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 45-63</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summarys Paper Numbers 9 and 12		1				

JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment, further limiting the location of the first leveling layer to directly on at least a portion of the surface of the article, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The proposed amendment, further limiting the article by claiming that the polymeric material layer provides a leveling effect to the article substrate, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The proposed amendment, further limiting the article composition, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

G7 8/8/03

Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

2